

PCT

REC'D 22 NOV 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference					CTION	See Notification	n of Transmittal of International
ABL-012-PCT				TORTORINERA		Preliminary Exa	amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
				International filing date	(day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/BE 03/00192 07.11.2003				07.11.2003			08.11.2002
			nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	and IPC		
C07	'K16/2	28		•			
							•
	icant					······································	
ABL	YNX	N.V.	et al.				
1.	This	interr	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	mination report has bee	n prepar	ed by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining
İ	Auu	Officy &	and is transmitted to the	applicant according to	Article 3	ь.	
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 6 sheets, including th	nis cover	sheet.	
		This	report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES i a	eheete r	of the description	on, claims and/or drawings which have
	-	beer	n amended and are the l	basis for this report and	l <i>l</i> or shee	ts containing re	ectifications made before this Authority
			Rule 70.16 and Section		ive instr	uctions under t	ne PC1).
	Thes	se anı	nexes consist of a total of	of sheets.			
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems:		•
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion				
	11		Priority				
İ	111	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, ir	nventive step a	nd industrial applicability
	IV		Lack of unity of inventi			•	
	V 🗵 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				ventive step or industrial applicability;		
	VI		Certain documents cite	• • •			
	VII		Certain defects in the i	international application	1		
	VIII		Certain observations of	n the international appl	lication		
Date of submission of the demand					Date of	completion of th	is report
01.06.2004							
01.06.2004					23.11	.2004	
Name and mailing address of the International				al	Authoria	zed Officer	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2				5818 Patenticen 2			good to the Patenteen .
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni				as	Le Fla	10, K	
Fax: +31 70 340 - 2040 1X: 31 651 epo hi				oo i aho iii	Telepho	one No. +31 70 3	340-1040
1					I		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/BE 03/00192

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
, initially initially and 70.17)).

	Des	Description, Pages					
	1-7	3	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
	1-4	9	as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/10	0-10/10	as originally filed				
2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnisl language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated unless			lage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1)					
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
			anslation furnished for the purposes of international proliminant exemination (under				
3.	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: 						
		contained in the inte	mational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
	\boxtimes		ntly to this Authority in written form.				
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	Ø	The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
	⊠	The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/BE 03/00192

5	. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
					ndments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this			
6	6. Additional observations, if necessary:							
11	I. No	n-establishment of opinion	with re	egard to no	evelty, inventive step and industrial and industrial			
III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicab1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				Dec de la manual de la la la la la la la la la la la la la				
☐ the entire international application,								
⊠ claims Nos. 22-24								
because:								
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
		the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	s. are	so inadequa	ately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 22-24						
 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotid or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative 								
	\Box the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.			not comply with the Standard.				
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
V.	V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;							
1.		tement						
		elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-21,25-49 1			
		ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-21,25-49			
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21,40-49 25-39			
2.	Citat	ions and explanations						

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Present claims 22-24 relate to a product defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely "an unknown agent that modulates the binding of an anti-TNF-alpha polypeptide of any of claims 1 to 11, and 13 to 16 to Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha" (claim 22) and "an unknown agent that modulates Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha-mediated disorders" (claim 23). The scope of claims 22-24 is unclear and speculative within the meaning of Article 6 PCT. Said claims lack indication concerning their technical features. The available experimental data actually relate to anti-TNF-alpha single domain antibodies and their preparation, but not to an agent that modulates their binding. Consequently no search has been carried out for the claims 22-24 and opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability will not be established.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

NOVELTY

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 91/02078 A (PEPTIDE TECHNOLOGY LTD) 21 February 1991 (1991-02-21)
- D2: VALLE E ET AL: 'Infliximab' EXPERT OPINION ON PHARMACOTHERAPY, vol. 2, June 2001, pages 1015-1025, XP002965315 ISSN: 1465-6566
- D3: WO 02/057445 A (MURUGANANDAM ARUMUGAM; STANIMIROVIC DANICA (CA); NARANG SARAM (CA)) 25 July 2002

D1 discloses monoclonal antibodies anti-TNF-alpha. The possibility that these antibodies are single domain antibodies is mentioned (p.3, I.28 - p.4, I.24; p.15, I.25 - I.33). The subject-matter of claim-1 dealing-with an anti-TNF-alpha polypeptide comprising at least one anti-TNF-alpha single domain antibody is therefore not novel over D1.

INVENTIVE STEP

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/BE 03/00192

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 2-17 and 21-49 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 2, and discloses (cf the references above) monoclonal antibodies against TNF-alpha and how single domain antibodies can be obtained from these antibodies. The subject-matter of claim 2 relates to a single domain antibody having a specific sequence represented by any of SEQ ID NOs: 1 to 16 and 79 to 84. It differs from D1 by the specific structures given by the sequences. These structures are associated with various effects (see the description, p.51, l.4 to p.52, l.18) among them different solubility (VHH 3E, 3G and 7B having advantageous characteristics), and different antagonistic effect (3E and 3G being more potent antagonists then 1A (moderate antagonistic effect) or then 7B (no antagonistic effect)). Some of these effects are summarised φn figure 2.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the provision of alternative anti-TNF-alpha single domain antibodies. The solution proposed in claim 2 appears to solve the problem posed. However since only six clones, VHH 1A, 2B, 3E, 3G, 7B and 12B (ie those corresponding to the sequences SEQ ID NOs: 1-5 and 14) were characterized, no effect was shown to be associated with the 16 clones that were not characterized. As there is no demonstrated technical effect linked to the 16 not characterized clones, these 16 clones cannot be considered to solve the problem posed, then leading to the conclusion that the subject-matter of claim 2 cannot be considered to be inventive.

While considering the part of claim 2 that is considered to solve the problem posed, ie the part dealing with the six characterized clones VHH 1A, 2B, 3E, 3G, 7B and 12B, it is considered that it does not involve an inventive step for the following reasons. Preparing single domain antibodies in llamas or camels is a known alternative to other forms of single domain antibodies that provides different advantages as disclosed in document D2 (see the abstract : soluble and functional bispecific and bivalent antibodies with the expression levels, ease of purification, the solubility and the binding capacity of the recombinant proteins being comparable with those of the constituent monomers). Therefore it is considered that a skilled person, when trying to solve the problem posed, would have used the technique of generating camelidae single domain antibodies which would have resulted in the antibodies as claimed without using inventive skills. Characterising the single domain antibodies with the sequences render the single domain antibodies novel but not inventive over the prior art. The solubility and the antagonising properties are not unexpected effects

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

which could warrant recognition of an inventive step.

The dependent claims 3-11 and claims 12-21 and 25-49, referring to any of claims 1 to 11 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of claim 1, involve an inventive step as the relevant subject matter is either disclosed in the cited prior art of falls within the knowledge and ability of the skilled person. Document D3 discloses the therapeutical use of an anti-TNF-alpha antibody for treating rheumatoid arthtis and Crohn's disease, which anticipates the subject-matter of claims 25-39 and 49.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

For the assessment of the present claims 25-39 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

CLARITY

Claims 18-20 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not defined. The claims 18-20 attempt to define the subject-matter ("a method for identifying an agent") in terms of result to be achieved ("under conditions permitting binding between said polypeptide and target") without indicating what are the technical features of the method.